1.5D Internal Multiple Prediction in Plane wave domain

Jian Sun Kristopher A. H. Innanen





Outline

- Inverse scattering algorithm
- Pseudo-depth monotonicity condition
- IM prediction in plane wave domain
- Conclusion and Future work
- Acknowledgements





Inverse scattering series algorithm



Actual depth relationship:

 $z_1 > z_2$ and $z_2 < z_3$

Lower-Higher-Lower

(Weglein et al., 2003)





2D IM prediction algorithm (Weglein et al., 1997,2003)

$$b_{3_{IM}}(k_g, k_s, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_1 e^{-iq_1(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} dk_2 e^{-iq_2(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz e^{i(q_g + q_1)z} b_1(k_g, k_1, z)$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{z-\epsilon} dz' e^{-i(q_1 + q_2)z'} b_1(k_1, k_2, z') \int_{z' + \epsilon}^{+\infty} dz'' e^{i(q_2 + q_s)z''} b_1(k_2, k_s, z'')$$
where
$$q_X = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_X^2 c_0^2}{\omega^2}}; \qquad k_z = q_g + q_s; \qquad z = \frac{c_0 t}{2}$$

z is the Pseudo – depth which satisfied: z > z' and z' < z''.





IM Prediction in 1.5D (Weglein et al., 1997,2003)

$$b_{3_{IM}}(k_g,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz e^{ik_z z} b_1(k_g,z) \int_{-\infty}^{z-\epsilon} dz' e^{-ik_z z'} b_1(k_g,z') \int_{z'+\epsilon}^{+\infty} dz'' e^{ik_z z''} b_1(k_g,z'')$$

where
$$q_X = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_X^2 c_0^2}{\omega^2}}$$

$$k_z = 2q_g = 2q_s; \quad k_g = k_s.$$

z is the Pseudo – depth which satisfied: z > z' and z' < z''.





$b_1(k_g, k_s, z)$ Construction

The procedure for getting the input was given by Innanen (2012):

1. Start with the dataset $d(x_g, x_s, t)$, 2. Fourier Transform from (x_g, x_s, t) to (k_g, k_s, ω) , $d(x_g, x_s, t) \rightarrow D(k_g, k_s, \omega)$ 3. A change of variables from ω to k_z (where $k_z = q_g + q_g$), $D(k_g, k_s, \omega) \rightarrow D(k_g, k_s, k_z)$ 4.Then scaled by $-i2q_s$, $b_1(k_g, k_s, k_z) = -i2q_sD(k_g, k_s, k_z)$ 5. Inverse Fourier Transform $b_1(k_g, k_s, k_z) \rightarrow b_1(k_g, k_s, z)$





Pseudo-depth monotonicity condition







Pseudo-depth monotonicity condition





$$q_s z_a = \frac{w \cos\theta}{c_0} * z_a = w \frac{\tau_a}{2} = q_g z_a$$

$$k_z z_a = w \tau_a \tag{1}$$

$$\gamma_1(z_b - z_a) = w(\tau_b - \tau_a)$$
 (2)

where
$$\gamma_1 = q_{1s} + q_{1g} = \frac{2wcos\varphi}{c_1}$$

$$k_z z_a + \gamma_1 (z_b - z_a) = w \tau_a \quad (3)$$

$$k_z z_b' = w \tau_b \tag{4}$$



IM prediction algorithm in (kx, z) domain (Weglein et al., 1997, 2003)

$$b_{3_{IM}}(k_g,k_s,\omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dk_1 e^{-iq_1(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} dk_2 e^{-iq_2(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz e^{i(q_g + q_1)z} b_1(\underline{k_g,k_1,z})$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{z-\epsilon} dz' e^{-i(q_1+q_2)z'} b_1(k_1,k_2,z') \int_{z'+\epsilon}^{+\infty} dz'' e^{i(q_2+q_s)z''} b_1(k_2,k_s,z'')$$

where
$$q_X = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_X^2 c_0^2}{\omega^2}}$$
 $k_x \to p$
 $k_z Z \to w \tau$





IM prediction algorithm in (p, z) domain

$$b_{3_{IM}}(p_g, p_s, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_1 e^{-iq_1(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} dp_2 e^{-iq_2(\varepsilon_g - \varepsilon_s)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz e^{i(q_g + q_1)z} b_1(p_g, p_1, z)$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{z-\epsilon} dz' e^{-i(q_1 + q_2)z'} b_1(p_1, p_2, z') \int_{z' + \epsilon}^{+\infty} dz'' e^{i(q_2 + q_s)z''} b_1(p_2, p_s, z'')$$

$$q_{X} = \frac{\omega}{c_{0}} \sqrt{1 - p_{X}^{2} c_{0}^{2}}$$





IM prediction algorithm in (p, τ) domain

$$b_{3_{IM}}(p_g, p_s, \omega) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp_1 e^{-i\omega(\tau_{1g} - \tau_{1s})} dp_2 e^{-i\omega(\tau_{2g} - \tau_{2s})} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} b_1(p_g, p_1, \tau)$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} d\tau' e^{-i\omega\tau'} b_1(p_1, p_2, \tau') \int_{\tau'+\epsilon}^{+\infty} d\tau'' e^{i\omega\tau''} b_1(p_2, p_s, \tau'')$$

where

$$\tau_{XY} = \frac{\omega \varepsilon_Y}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - p_X^2 c_0^2}$$

 ε_q and ε_q are the source and receiver depth.

 p_X is the horizontal slowness.





1.5D IM prediction algorithm in plane wave domain

(p, z) domain : (Nita and Weglein, 2009)

$$b_{3_{IM}}(p_g,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz e^{i2q_g z} b_1(p_g,z) \int_{-\infty}^{z-\epsilon} dz' e^{-i2q_g z'} b_1(p_g,z') \int_{z'+\epsilon}^{+\infty} dz'' e^{i2q_g z''} b_1(p_g,z'')$$

where $q_X = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - p_X^2 c_0^2}$

(p, τ) domain : (Coates and Weglein, 1996)

$$b_{3_{IM}}(p_g,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau e^{i\omega\tau} b_1(p_g,\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\tau-\epsilon} d\tau' e^{-i\omega\tau'} b_1(p_g,\tau') \int_{\tau'+\epsilon}^{+\infty} d\tau'' e^{i\omega\tau''} b_1(p_g,\tau'')$$





$b_1(p,z)$ Construction

Similar to the procedure was given by Innanen (2012):

1. Start with the dataset d(x, t), **2.** Tau-p Transform from (x, t) to (p, τ) , (codes from UA) $d(x,t) \rightarrow D_1(p,\tau)$ 3. Fourier Transform from τ to ω , $D_1(p,\tau) \rightarrow D(p,\omega)$ 4. A change of variables from ω to k_z (where $k_z = q_a + q_a$), $D(p, \omega) \rightarrow D(p, k_z)$ 5. Then scaled by $-i2q_s$, $b_1(p,k_z) = -i2q_s D(p,k_z)$ 6. Inverse Fourier Transform $b_1(p,k_z) \rightarrow b_1(p,z)$





$b_1(p,\tau)$ Construction

- 1. Start with the dataset d(x, t),
- **2.** Tau-p Transform from (x, t) to (p, τ) , (codes from UA)

 $\mathrm{d}(x,t) \to D_1(p,\tau)$

3. Fourier Transform from τ to ω ,

 $D_{1}(p,\tau) \rightarrow D(p,\omega)$ 4.Then scaled by $-i2q_{s}$, $b_{1}(p,\omega) = -i2q_{s}D(p,\omega)$ 5. Inverse Fourier Transform $b_{1}(p,\omega) \rightarrow b_{1}(p,\tau)$







































IM prediction algorithm in (p, τ) domain --- $b_1(p, \tau)$









IM prediction algorithm in (p, τ) domain --- $b_1(p, \tau)$







IM prediction algorithm in (p, τ) domain --- $b_1(p, \tau)$





Conclusion







Conclusion and Future Work

Inverse Scattering Series algorithm also works for predicting IM in plane wave domain, either (p, z) domain or (p, τ) domain, with the same accuracy to (k_x, z) domain, even better.

Especially for (p, τ) domain , it may save time because no resampling needed in the processing.

Easier to get rid of Free-surface multiples in (p, τ) domain , and prepare for IM prediction.

Consider the effect of the epsilon value and dip.

A real 2D IM prediction.





Acknowledgements

- Dr. Kristopher Innanen
- Penny Pan, Raul Cova
- All CREWES Staff and Students
- All CREWES Sponsors





Question?