

Using basis pursuit in seismic data

CREWES Tech Talk Heather Hardeman October 2, 2015



Outline

- Basis pursuit
- Results
- AVO and frequency-dependent AVO
- Results
- Conclusion
- Future Work



Time-frequency analysis methods

- Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT)
- Continuous Wavelet Transform (CWT)
- Synchro-squeezing Transform (SST)
- Basis Pursuit (BP)



Basis pursuit (BP) method

he signal

$$s(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\psi(t,n) * a(t,n)]$$

T

The cost function $J = \frac{1}{2} \|\vec{s} - D\vec{a}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\vec{a}\|_1$

Reservoir data set





Amplitude attribute



Valley data set



Phase attribute





Corrected phase attribute





Traditional AVO

- AVO (Amplitude versus Offset) method Interpret the amplitudes of the P-waves as a function of offset, or angle, which contain implied information about the S-waves.
- Converting from offset to angle domain
- To extract S-wave type information from P-wave reflections at different offsets - (Wiggins' Form of the Aki-Richards Equation)

 $R(\theta) = A + Bsin^{2}(\theta) + Csin^{2}(\theta) \tan^{2}(\theta)$



Attributes A and B





Aki-Richards based FAVO

Assuming that the approximation given by the Wiggins' form of the Aki-Richards equation holds, we have that $s_{\theta}(t) = A(t) + B(t) \sin^{2}(\theta)$ (1) Plugging equation (1) into the STFT equation yields

$$S_s(\tau, f) = T_1(\tau, f) + T_2(\tau, f) \sin^2(\theta)$$
 (2)

where

$$T_1(\tau, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(t)w(t-\tau)e^{-2i\pi ft} dt, \text{ and}$$
$$T_2(\tau, f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} B(t)w(t-\tau)e^{-2i\pi ft} dt$$



Attributes T_1 and T_2



Attribute $T_1 * T_2$





Smith-Gidlow FAVO

The two-term Smith-Gidlow AVO approximation assumes: $R(\theta) = P(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_p}{V_p} + Q(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_s}{Vs}$

Extend Smith-Gidlow AVO approximation to a frequencydependent equation,

$$R(\theta, f) = P(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_{p}}{V_{p}}(f) + Q(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_{s}}{V_{s}}(f)$$

The forward scheme of finite difference is used in the evaluation of attributes:

$$I_a(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \frac{\Delta V_p}{V_p}$$
 and $I_b(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \frac{\Delta V_s}{V_s}$.

Attribute $\Delta V_p/V_p$







Smith-Gidlow FAVO

The two-term Smith-Gidlow AVO approximation assumes: $R(\theta) = P(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_p}{V_p} + Q(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_s}{Vs}$

Extend Smith-Gidlow AVO approximation to a frequencydependent equation,

$$R(\theta, f) = P(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_{p}}{V_{p}}(f) + Q(\theta) \frac{\Delta V_{s}}{V_{s}}(f)$$

The forward scheme of finite difference is used in the evaluation of attributes:

$$I_a(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \frac{\Delta V_p}{V_p}$$
 and $I_b(f) = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \frac{\Delta V_s}{V_s}$.

Attribute $I_a(f)$







Conclusions

- Amplitude and derivative of residual phase are promising attributes for interpretation of poststack data.
- FAVO methods proposed are <u>similar</u> to traditional AVO, <u>provide</u> better resolution, and <u>contain</u> frequency information.



Future work

- Use other Time-Frequency methods when applying the corrected phase method.
- Apply the corrected phase method to more complicated data sets.
- Apply both FAVO methods to a more complicated data sets.



Acknowledgements

- Rest of Team 6: Maria-Veronica Ciocanel, Dillon Nasserden, Byungjae Son, and Shuai Ye
- Institute for Mathematics and its Applications
- Pacific Institute for Mathematical Sciences
- CGG
- CREWES
- Dr. Jiajun Han of CGG
- Dr. Michael Lamoureux of University of Calgary



Questions or comments?



AVO vs FAVO

• The methods give <u>similar</u> localization results for the reservoir pre-stack data set.

• **FAVO** has the following advantages:

- It provides **better resolution** results with Basis Pursuit time-frequency analysis.
- It <u>encodes</u> frequency-dependent information.