Introduction

Two key full waveform inversion challenges (see Further Reading):

- multiple parameters,
- pre-critical reflection data.

We develop a hybrid discrete / continuous FWI formulation to optimize computational cost vs. convergence rate.

Quasi-Newton updates

The inverse Hessian **H**⁻¹ moves the FWI update direction δs away from the one suggested by the gradient g:

 $\delta \mathbf{s} = -\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{g}.$

An update parallel to g suffers, amongst other problems, from cross-talk, the leakage of one parameter into others. H^{-1} , it is thought, adjusts for this,

increasing the convergence rate, but at a huge computational cost. Quasi-Newton updates, by approximating H^{-1} , seek to balance convergence and compute cost.

—- A Cautionary Note —-

"Any old" Hessian approximation will not do. A diagonal Hessian, for instance,

$$\mathbf{H} \approx \begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & H_{22} & & & \\ & & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & H_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

leaves us just as prey to cross-talk as did gradient-based updates. An analyzable framework is needed to avoid this.



Multiparameter full waveform inversion of pre-critical reflection data Kris Innanen* University of Calgary, CREWES, k.innanen@ucalgary.ca

Multiparameter Newton updates Three quasi-Newton updates

This poster contains a very brief summary. Please see the associated report for detailed derivations.

Two parameter acoustic case

In the two parameter acoustic case, with bulk modulus and density gradients $g_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r})$ and $g_{\rho}(\mathbf{r})$, the full Newton step in our continuous / discrete formulation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} = -\int d\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{H}_2^{-1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \int d\mathbf{r}'' \begin{bmatrix} H \\ -H \end{bmatrix}$$

where $H_{AB} = H_{AB}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}'') = \partial^2 \Phi / \partial s_A(\mathbf{r}') \partial s_B(\mathbf{r}'')$, and

$$\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \int d\mathbf{r}''' [H_{\kappa\kappa}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}''')H_{
ho
ho}(\mathbf{r}''',\mathbf{r}') - H_{
ho
ho}(\mathbf{r}''',\mathbf{r}')]$$

Three parameter elastic case

The three-parameter elastic update, involving steps in V_P , V_S and ρ , is similarly

$$\begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{P}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{S}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} = -\int d\mathbf{r}' \mathcal{H}_{3}^{-1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \int d\mathbf{r}'' \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_{1} \\ \Lambda_{2} \\ \Lambda_{3} \\ \Lambda_{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{3}^{-1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$ and Λ_{ij} both involve functions $H_{AB}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}'')$, in various combinations, for all of $\{A, B\} = \{P, S, \rho\}.$

Three quasi-Newton updates

The update formulas have continuous aspects (the integrals over space) and discrete aspects (the 2×2 and 3×3 matrices). There are, thus, three ways the Hessian operators in these formulas may be diagonally approximated.

www.crewes.org

 $egin{aligned} & H_{
ho
ho} & -H_{
ho\kappa} \ -H_{\kappa
ho} & H_{\kappa\kappa} \end{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} oldsymbol{g}_\kappa(\mathbf{r}'') \ oldsymbol{g}_
ho(\mathbf{r}'') \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$

 $H_{
ho\kappa}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}''')H_{\kappa
ho}(\mathbf{r}''',\mathbf{r}')]$.

 $[1 \Lambda_{12} \Lambda_{13}] [g_P(\mathbf{r}'')]$ $g_S(\mathbf{r}'')$ $_{1} \Lambda_{22} \Lambda_{23}$ $_{1} \Lambda_{32} \Lambda_{33} \rfloor \lfloor g_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}'') \rfloor$

For simplicity we will summarize the quasi-Newton updates for the two parameter acoustic case.

Parameter-type update

By keeping the spatially diagonal parts of the Hessian $H_{AB}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}'') \approx \Gamma_{AB}\delta(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}'')$, we obtain

 $\begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \approx - \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\kappa\kappa}\Gamma_{\rho\rho} - \Gamma_{\rho\kappa}\Gamma_{\kappa\rho}} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\rho\rho}(\mathbf{r}) & -\Gamma_{\rho\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ -\Gamma_{\kappa\rho}(\mathbf{r}) & \Gamma_{\kappa\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}.$

Space-type update

Whereas, by keeping the diagonal parts of the 2×2 matrix and \mathcal{H}_3^{-1} , we obtain

 $\begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \approx - \int d\mathbf{r}' \begin{bmatrix} H_{\kappa\kappa}^{-1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\boldsymbol{g}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}') \\ H_{\rho\rho}^{-1}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\boldsymbol{g}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}') \end{bmatrix}.$

Combined-type update

Finally, by keeping only the elements which are diagonal in both senses we obtain

 $\begin{bmatrix} \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \delta \boldsymbol{s}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix} \approx - \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{\kappa\kappa}^{-1}(\mathbf{r})\boldsymbol{g}_{\kappa}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \Gamma_{\rho\rho}^{-1}(\mathbf{r})\boldsymbol{g}_{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}$

Qualitatively, we expect the parameter type update to maintain the capability of managing cross-talk. The proof of that appears in the companion report.

Further Reading

- FWI Workshop Proceedings, SEG Expanded Abstracts, Houston 2013.
- Special Section on FWI, The Leading Edge 32, 9, Sep 2013.
- Please see the accompanying report for full referencing.

