



Combining classical processing with Machine Learning

CREWES 2022 Daniel Trad December 2, 2022





- Physics vs Machine Learning
- Combining Seismic Processing and Deep Learning
 - Least Squares Migration
 - Radon multiple attenuation
 - Ground Roll attenuation
 - Interpolation
- Conclusions

Physics and Machine Learning different approaches



Both **Physics** and **Machine Learning** learn from experiments and observations **Physics** needs **rules** and **ML** doesn't.

Every experiment has a many possible outcomes.

Physics uses rules to select outcomes that matter. This is called **sparsity**.

Francois Collet, 2021 Machine Learning: a new programming paradigm

ML lets anything to be learned but prunes by training

Al requires more **computer power** and **more data** to do pruning but it is more **flexible**.

Daniel Roberts, 2021, why is AI hard and physics simple?

In recent years, a combination of the 2 approaches called Physics Guided ML is taken momentum.

Computer Vision Applications



Géron, Aurélien. Hands-On Machine Learning with Scikit-Learn, Keras, and TensorFlow . O'Reilly Media.



6+32+32

6*16*16

12*16*16

3+32+32

Schuster, Practical ML in Geosciences, 2021

12+8+8

18+8+8

18+4+4

288

144

Computer Vision vs Seismic Processing



G $\int I_{R}(x,y)$ $I_{c}(x,y)$ - $I_{x,y}$ (x.v)

The world according to computer vision

Sandipan Dey. Hands-On Image Processing with Python. Packt.

The world according to seismic processing: the same 3D shot record...

sorted by offset



just a few meters appart

organized by lines

| 0 500 | 1000 | 1500 | 2000 | 2500 | 3000 35 | 4000 | 4500 5000 5500 |
|---|--|------|------|---|-----------------------|--|----------------|
| na se | istration in the second | | | | | | |
| 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 - 400 | ji seriji da | | | مريانية (الأراب مندر). معالمة الأراب مند م | rininina) Nijinina | | |
| 600 - Start | nininenni Steineteini | | | and shares | | andra karlan | |
| 000 - University | ada ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an | | | in the second | | | |
| 1000 - 1000 - 10 Ale | (c)ectrology | | | server a rock | | a an | |
| 1200 - ⁴ | and the later | | | | | aliana Antonio Antonio | |
| 1400 - ⁴ | | | | and the second second | 1 l | | |
| 1600 - J. B | (dinters/see) | | | | | | |
| 1800 | and the second second | | | | | | |



Combination of standard processing with DL





EXAMPLE 1:

Approximating Least squares Reverse Time migration combining RTM and UNET in the image space

$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$ The problem: take regular migration and convert it to the ideal case







RTM Option 3



Illumination



Reflectivity from background velocity



Reflectivity from background velocity



EXAMPLE 2:

Attenuating multiples with combined Hyperbolic Radon and UNET mute

Example 2: Radon demultiple with ML-mute and Radon

Learn the mute filter in the Radon transform. (675x200x1000 samples for data set split into 74000 windows 64x64x1) Running 10 iterations with tensorflow-gpu (approximately 9 minutes).



Example 2: Radon demultiple with Hyperbolic Radon

Calculate hyperbolic Radon transform in the CMP domain for both datasets. (675 CMPs for each data set, 200 Radon parameters (1/Vel2) for each. (CUDA, 70 secs all cmps)



Issue with resolution perhaps?

Example 2: Radon demultiple with Sparse Hyperbolic Radon

Calculate sparse hyperbolic Radon transform in the CMP domain for both datasets. (675 CMPs for each data set, 200 Radon parameters (1/Vel2) for each.



Would sparse Radon improve this?



Example 2b: Radon demultiple with ML-mute and Parabolic Radon

Learn the mute filter in the Parabolic Radon transform. (578x241x720 samples for data set split into 74000 windows 64x64x1) Running 10 iterations with tensorflow-gpu (approximately 9 minutes).



Combining information





EXAMPLE 3:

Attenuating ground roll with combined Finite difference modeling and UNET mute

Finite difference elastic modeling from topography (program from Ivan Sanchez) SEAM model (2D section). Predicting GR from near surface modeling



Finite difference elastic modeling from topography (program from Ivan Sanchez) Using Vp, Vs, Rho for SEAM model (2D section)



Finite difference elastic modeling from topography (program from Ivan Sanchez) Using Vp, Vs, Rho for SEAM model (2D section)



Finite difference elastic modeling from topography (program from Ivan Sanchez) Using Vp, Vs, Rho for SEAM model (2D section)





EXAMPLE 4: Interpolation

Transformation



Training models





Testing model





Prediction with training data





- 1 Information can be combined by adding different channels
- 2 This information has to be in principle pixel to pixel equivalent but ..
- 3 It maybe possible to combine different information through an additional network
- 4 Different transformations can be used to make the DL job easier
- 5 Different models can be combined to improve generalization.



- 1 Information can be combined: Shang Huang: LSRTM with multiples
- 2 This information has to be in principle pixel to pixel equivalent but ..
- 3 Use a network to combine information: Paloma Fontes: Demultiple with Radon
- 4 Different transformations- Ivan Sanchez- GR attenuation with AE
- 5 Different models can be combined to improve generalization: Daniel Trad

Support:

- CREWES sponsors
- Canadian SEG (Chair in Exploration Geophysics)
- Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

special thanks to:

Sam Gray (science and sanity check!) Jorge Monsegny (figure out how to combine Madagascar with TF) Ivan Sanchez (modeling ground roll)