

Physical modeling of microseismics and time reversal Joe Wong., Hongliang Zhang, Kevin Bertram, and Kris Innanen

1. MICROSEISMICS SURVEY

A microseismic survey over the physical model shown on Figure 1.1 acquired data for a hypocentre location problem made difficult by the presence of an HTI layer.



Figure 1.1: Side and plan views of the physical model for microseismic survey. The x-axis is aligned with the fast direction of the HTI layer.

Hypocenter location is normally done using ray tracing to match event arrival times. Refractive ray tracing in 3D through the HTI layer is difficult, and requires formulas that adequately describe the anisotropic velocities of HTI materials (e.g., the Byun equations).

2. TIME-REVERSAL EXPERIMENT

A three-step time-reversal experiment (Landa et al., 2019; Vick, 1994) was conducted over the physical model on Figure 2.1 with an impulsive source function.

Step 1: A forward survey. A single source under a high-velocity body shot to a receiver line above the body. Figure 2.1 displays the resulting seismograms. Figure 2.2 shows windowed and reversed traces for 11 chosen receiver positions.



Figure 2.1: The physical model.

Step 2: A reverse survey. Sources at 11 chosen positions above the body shot down to a receiver line through the forward survey source position. resulting in 11 common-source gathers (two are shown on Figure 2.4). Delay times for firing the 11 above-body sources were determined by reversed first-arrival times.

Step 3: Summation. The eleven reverse survey CSGs were added together (Figure 2.5). Properly delayed firing of sources in the reverse survey forced focusing of seismic energy in the sum.









Figure 1.2: Microseismograms recorded on the circular receiver line (radius=500m, angle spacing = 5 degrees).



of seismograms from the forward survey.



Fig. 2.3: Seismograms and time picks for 11 chosen positions, mirrored and time-reversed.

