VSP-based Q-estimation at Pike's Peak

Arnim B. Haase and Robert R. Stewart CREWES, University of Calgary



Outline

Introduction Pike's Peak Location and Stratigraphy Z-stack and Q-estimation Downgoing P-wave and Q-estimation Taner and Treitel's Q-estimation Method Conclusions Acknowledgements



Pike's Peak, Saskatchewan (Watson, 2004)



Pike's Peak Area Stratigraphic Chart (Watson, 2003)



Pike's Peak 90m Offset VSP (true amplitude Z-stack)



Z-stack Trace Spectra (8Hz to 200Hz Sweep)



Log Spectral Ratio of Z-stack (90m Offset VSP)



SRM-Q(z) as Function of Estimation Depth Interval



Q versus Depth for Z-stack (90m Offset VSP)



Pike's Peak VSP (Isolated Downgoing P-wave)



Trace Spectra of Isolated Downgoing P-wave



Log Spectral Ratio of Isolated Downgoing P-wave



Log Spectral Ratio of Minimum Phase Wavelet (T+T)



Magnitude Spectra of Minimum Phase Wavelets (Taner and Treitel Method applied to Model)



Log Spectral Ratios from Minimum Phase Wavelets (Taner and Treitel Method applied to Model)



Figure 5 of Taner and Treitel, 2003 (Spectral Ratio)



Q(z) computed with ASM, SRM and Taner + Treitel

Station #	Depth Range (from KB)	V-int (m/s)	Q
$35 \sim 45$	$282\ m\sim 357\ m$	2300	45.2
$45 \sim 55$	$357\ m\sim 432\ m$	2350	48.2
$55 \sim 65$	$432\ m\sim 507\ m$	2500	74.0

Table 1. Q factors for well 15-06.

Pike's Peak Q-estimates by Richard Xu et al. (2001)

Conclusions

 Q versus depth trends, estimated by spectral ratios and analytic signals, are again similar.

 Consistent with previous observations (Ross Lake) is the increase of Q(z) values toward shallower depths which is thought to be caused by near-field effects.

From the comparisons done it is unclear whether or not the Taner and Treitel technique improves the spectral ratio approach.

Acknowledgements

Support by the CREWES team and its industrial sponsorship is gratefully acknowledged.