

A geometrical model of DAS

angle dependence and vector/tensor estimation

Kris Innanen

CREWES Annual Meeting Banff AB Canada Dec 1 2016



Acknowledgments

Don Lawton, CaMI

CREWES industrial sponsors NSERC-CRD (CRDPJ 461179-13)

Outline

- 1. Background: aspects of DAS technology
 - a) a key issue with the state of the art
 - b) what is in and what is out of the model
- 2. Geometrical model of helical fibre / deviated cable
 - a) axis of a deviated cable
 - b) adding in the helix
- 3. Applications
 - a) Embedding fibre in an elastic wavefield snapshot
 - b) Estimating vector displacement
 - c) Estimating tensor strain
 - d) General characterization of directionality

Background Brooks AB FRS









A key issue with the state of the art



A key issue with the state of the art



Possible solutions



Figure 11 Helically wrapped fibre for a broadside sensitive cable (HWC) – Left: fibre (blue) in the cable (red). Right: cable cut along line AB and flattened to obtain a surface in which the wrapping angle α is defined.

Helically-wound fibre

"Twisted Strip" cable



Figure 12 The Twisted Strip Cable – another broad-side sensitive cable based on the "shaped fibre" concept. A sinusoid is made of fibre in one plane (the strip) and then twisted to achieve sensitivity in all directions.

Mateeva et al., 2014

Today: goals

- 1. General model of fibre embedded in a 3D volume
 - a) helix + cable axis with arbitrary curvature
 - b) enumerate all tangents sensed by this fibre
 - c) formulate estimation of vector / tensor fields
 - d) generalize cos²0 directionality rules for incident P-wave
- 2. What is left out of the model
 - a) detailed calibration from strain to displacement
 - b) ground-to-casing-to-fibre coupling
 - c) SNR issues etc. in analyzing interrogator signal (except incorporation of gauge-length)

Geometrical model

of a helical fibre wound round an arbitrary cable



Geometrical model

of a helical fibre wound round an arbitrary cable



By similar arguments the derivative of the tangent must lie in the plane perpendicular, therefore

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}(s) = \frac{\mathbf{n}(s)}{|\mathbf{n}(s)|}, \quad \mathbf{n}(s) = \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{t}}(s)}{ds} \qquad \qquad \hat{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s) \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}(s)$$

Geometrical model

of a helical fibre wound round an arbitrary cable



 $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_1(s) \\ \tilde{x}_2(s) \\ \tilde{x}_3(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(s) \\ x_2(s) \\ x_3(s) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}(s) \\ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{t}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}(s) & \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ r\cos s/c(\gamma) \\ r\sin s/c(\gamma) \end{bmatrix}$

```
% Cable and fibre parameters & equations
fac = 4; Np = 512*fac;
dx1 = 2.0/fac; tol = 8*10e-5;
% Parameters
bigV = 200; bigR = 30;
littleV = 2; littleR = 4;
x2c = 50; x3c = 50;
% Cable axis curve equations
x10 = 1:Np; x10 = (x10-1)*dx1; x20 = x2c + bigR*cos(x10/bigV); x30 = x3c*ones(size(x10));
% Calculate geometry, fibre vectors, fibre tangent
[t0, n0, b0, ss] = curvegeometry(x10, x20, x30, 'y', tol);
[x1,x2,x3] = addhelix(t0,n0,b0,x10,x20,x30,littleR,ss,littleV);
[t1,n1,b1,ss1] = curvegeometry(x1,x2,x3,'n',tol);
```





```
% Cable and fibre parameters & equations
fac = 4; Np = 512*fac;
dx1 = 2.0/fac; tol = 8*10e-5;
% Parameters
bigV = 200; bigR = 30;
littleV = 2; littleR = 4;
x2c = 50; x3c = 50;
% Cable axis curve equations
x10 = 1:Np; x10 = (x10-1)*dx1; x20 = x2c + bigR*cos(x10/bigV); x30 = x3c*ones(size(x10));
% Calculate geometry, fibre vectors, fibre tangent
[t0, n0, b0, ss] = curvegeometry(x10, x20, x30, 'y', tol);
[x1,x2,x3] = addhelix(t0,n0,b0,x10,x20,x30,littleR,ss,littleV);
[t1,n1,b1,ss1] = curvegeometry(x1,x2,x3,'n',tol);
```



Applications

I. Embedding fibre in a given vector wave field



Applications I. Embedding fibre in a given vector wave field



Applications I. Embedding fibre in a given vector wave field





Input: $u_t(s) = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{t}(s)$

Assume: tangential component of displacement can be estimated from normal strain



 $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1$

Input: $u_t(s) = \mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{t}(s)$



Desired output: $\mathbf{u} = [u_1, u_2, u_3]^T$ in "field system"



Input: $u_t(s) = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{t}(s)$



Problem: within some reconstruction volume, determine **u** from { $u_t(s_1), u_t(s_2), ..., u_t(s_N)$ }







$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Applications} \\ \textbf{II. Estimating tensor strain from } e_{tt}(s) \\ \textbf{II. Estimating tensor strain } \int \left(\hat{t}(s_k) \cdot \hat{j} \right) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij}^k = \left(\hat{t}(s_k) \cdot \hat{i} \right) \left(\hat{t}(s_k) \cdot \hat{j} \right) \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11}^1 & \lambda_{12}^1 & \lambda_{13}^1 & \lambda_{21}^1 & \lambda_{22}^1 & \lambda_{23}^1 & \lambda_{31}^1 & \lambda_{32}^1 & \lambda_{33}^1 \\ \lambda_{11}^2 & \lambda_{12}^2 & \lambda_{13}^2 & \lambda_{21}^2 & \lambda_{22}^2 & \lambda_{23}^2 & \lambda_{31}^2 & \lambda_{32}^2 & \lambda_{33}^2 \\ \vdots \\ e_{tt}(s_N) \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{11}^1 & \lambda_{12}^1 & \lambda_{13}^1 & \lambda_{21}^1 & \lambda_{22}^1 & \lambda_{22}^2 & \lambda_{23}^2 & \lambda_{31}^2 & \lambda_{32}^2 & \lambda_{33}^2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{11}^N & \lambda_{12}^N & \lambda_{13}^N & \lambda_{21}^N & \lambda_{22}^N & \lambda_{23}^N & \lambda_{31}^N & \lambda_{32}^N & \lambda_{33}^N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_{11} & e_{12} & e_{13} & e_{21} & e_{22} & e_{23} & e_{23}$$



Assume: far field P-wave impinges on fibre, such that e_{tt} is the only nonzero strain tensor element activated by wave







 $u_t = |\mathbf{u}| \ \hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{t} = |\mathbf{u}| \cos \theta$ Displacement response $e_{tt} = (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{t}) |\mathbf{e}| (\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{t}) = |\mathbf{e}| \cos^2 \theta$ Strain response





Conclusions

- 1. The next generation of geophysical methods for monitoring of production and exploration requires
 - a) dense sampling
 - b) repeatability
 - c) cost-effectiveness
- 2. Fibre / DAS systems a strong contender. But:
 - a) overcome / characterize broadside insensitivity?
 - b) to what degree can we estimate 3C from fibre?
- 3. Modeling moves us towards answers. Going forward:
 - a) design optimum practical geometries
 - b) ...with outcomes (AVO/AVAz inversion, FWI) in mind
 - c) Field tests

Background Optical scattering

Mie

Raman

Bragg Rayleigh

Brillouin



